



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,316	07/06/2001	Michiel R. Ausems	3745P010	5688
8791	7590 06/15/2005	·	EXAMINER	
	Y SOKOLOFF TAYLO SHIRE BOULEVARD	HONG, HARRY S		
SEVENTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2642	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			AUSEMS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		09/900,316				
•	······ y	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of t	his communication app	Harry S. Hong ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply	communication app		o.,			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende	or COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 06 Ju	ıly 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are al 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ob	<u>-</u> - · · · - ·					
Application Papers						
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet	6 July 2001 is/are: a) that any objection to the obtention including the correction	r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-31, 33-58, and 60-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Moon et al. (Moon; US 6,211,858 B1; cited and applied for the first time).

Regarding claims 1-3, 8, 16-18, 81-84, and 86-91, the entire patent to Moon plainly teaches the claimed user interface (reads on the graphical user interface of Moon) for a handheld communication device (see FIG. 1), comprising a main screen segregated into a number of screen areas (see FIGs. 3 - 6), at least one of the screen areas devoted to a system window in which a system application for displaying status icons for the handheld communication device executes (see status bar 140 in FIGs. 4 - 6), and another of the screen areas devoted to an application window in which a browser executes to display an HTML page having current or recent application information for respective user applications, which user applications may be accessed through interaction with the browser (see FIGs. 3 – 6; column 1, lines 56 – 63; and column 2, lines 13 – 29).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claim 4, see column 5, line 45 (E-mail).

With respect to claims 6, 7, and 85, Moon shows stylus 26 in FIG. 1.

Moon plainly teaches the graphic icons of claims 9 and 21.

Moon also teaches the telephone dialer and e-mail applications, and text editor of claims 10-12, 26, 27, and 92-94.

The limitations of claims 13-15, 19, and 20 are inherent to any browser and Moon teaches a browser.

FIGs. 3 and 4 of Moon clearly depict the folder menu of claims 22-25.

Claims 28-31 and 33-54 parallel claims 1-4 and 6-27 and are thus taught by Moon in the same manner.

Claims 55-58 and 60-80 also parallel claims 1-4 and 6-27 and are thus taught by Moon in the same manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 5, 32, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moon, as applied above, in view of Haestrup (US 6,223,059 B1; cited and applied for the first time).

Moon is silent with respect to the application information comprising one or more upcoming events or to do items. However, Haestrup clearly teaches such calendaring feature for a user of a handheld communication device (see column 3, lines 16 – 19 and column 4, lines 15 – 24). Therefore, it would have been obvious even to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the calendar function into the device of Moon as taught by Haestrup. The advantages of a calendar function in PDAs are also well known.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7485. The examiner is normally off on Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642

June 9, 2005